The Heresy of Christian Zionism: Steelmanning Stephen Sizer's Critique of Scofield, Dispensationalism, and Evangelical Foreign Policy

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

May 29, 2026

Stephen Sizer stands as one of the most polarizing and consequential figures in modern evangelical theology. For decades, he has systematically dismantled the theological and historical foundations of Christian Zionism, a movement he characterizes not merely as a flawed geopolitical stance, but as a dangerous theological heresy. By tracing the roots of this movement to the nineteenth century and the popularization of Cyrus Scofield's dispensationalism, Sizer argues that a massive segment of global evangelicalism has abandoned orthodox covenantal theology. In its place, it has adopted a deeply flawed framework that artificially separates national Israel from the Christian Church, thereby weaponizing biblical prophecy to justify ethnic exclusion, military occupation, and human rights abuses in the Middle East. This paper aims to steelman Sizer's extensive body of work. By stripping away the intense political controversies that often obscure his underlying academic scholarship, we construct the most robust possible version of his arguments. We will comprehensively examine his historical critique of Scofield, his rigorous defense of traditional Reformed covenant theology, and his unwavering advocacy for Palestinian solidarity. Ultimately, this analysis demonstrates that Sizer's critique is an indispensable corrective to the eschatological nationalism driving modern evangelical foreign policy.

Keywords: Stephen Sizer, Christian Zionism, dispensationalism, Cyrus Scofield, covenant theology, evangelicalism, Middle East geopolitics, Palestinian solidarity, Christian nationalism, eschatology, Israel and the Church, Anglican theology.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-Pro. CC4.0.

Outline

1. Introduction: The Theological and Geopolitical Stakes 1.1. Defining Christian Zionism 1.2. The Scope of Sizer's Academic Project 1.3. The Methodology of Steelmanning the Critique

2. The Historical Origins of Christian Zionism 2.1. Pre-Jewish Zionism: The Christian Roots of a Nationalist Movement 2.2. Nineteenth-Century British Millenarianism 2.3. The Shift from European Margins to American Mainstream

3. Cyrus Scofield and the Institutionalization of Dispensationalism 3.1. The Character and Credibility of Cyrus Ingerson Scofield 3.2. The Architecture of the Scofield Reference Bible 3.3. How Commentary Became Canon in American Evangelicalism

4. The Theological Mechanics of Dispensationalism 4.1. The Seven Dispensations and the Fragmentation of Biblical History 4.2. The Denigration of the Church as a "Parenthesis" 4.3. The Elevation of National Israel over the Body of Christ

5. Sizer's Covenantal Counter-Narrative 5.1. The Continuity of the Covenants in Historic Orthodoxy 5.2. Jesus Christ as the True Temple and the True Israel 5.3. The Universalization of the Land Promises in the New Testament

6. The Geopolitical Weaponization of Eschatology 6.1. Prophecy as a Driver of Foreign Policy 6.2. The Evangelical Lobby and Unconditional Support for the State of Israel 6.3. The Dangerous Convergence of Dispensationalism and Christian Nationalism

7. The Ethical Contradictions of Christian Zionism in the Middle East 7.1. The Theological Justification for Apartheid and Ethnic Cleansing 7.2. The Moral Bankruptcy of "End-Times" Militarism 7.3. Sizer's Condemnation of the Supremacist Worldview

8. The Reality of Palestinian Christians 8.1. The Forgotten Believers in Evangelical Discourse 8.2. Western Complicity in the Eradication of the Indigenous Church 8.3. Amplifying Palestinian Voices: The Kairos Document

9. Sizer's Pastoral and Expository Framework 9.1. Grounding Activism in Traditional Anglican Ministry 9.2. Reading the Hebrew Scriptures through a Christocentric Lens 9.3. The Gospel of Peace Versus the Gospel of Empire

10. Confronting the Charge of Antisemitism 10.1. Distinguishing Theological Critique from Racial Prejudice 10.2. The Weaponization of Antisemitism to Silence Political Dissent 10.3. Sizer's Absolute Rejection of Christian Racism

11. Interfaith Dialogue and the Peacemaker Trust 11.1. Moving Beyond Polemics: The Pursuit of Convivencia 11.2. Dismantling Colonial Narratives Across Faith Traditions 11.3. Practical Steps Toward Justice and Reconciliation

12. Conclusion: The Legacy and Necessity of Sizer's Critique 12.1. The Enduring Threat of Apocalyptic Theology 12.2. Reclaiming a Biblical Vision of Justice 12.3. Sizer's Place in Modern Theological Discourse

13. References

Art

1. Introduction: The Theological and Geopolitical Stakes

The intersection of religious eschatology and international foreign policy represents one of the most volatile dynamics in the modern Middle East. Within the United States and the United Kingdom, a vast demographic of evangelical Christians views the contemporary State of Israel not merely as a secular geopolitical ally, but as the fulfillment of biblical prophecy and a necessary catalyst for the second coming of Jesus Christ. This theological framework fundamentally alters how millions of voters, lobbyists, and politicians approach diplomacy, human rights, and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Among the most rigorous, persistent, and controversial critics of this theological-political alliance is Stephen Sizer. As an ordained Anglican clergyman and a meticulous theologian, Sizer has dedicated his academic and pastoral career to dismantling the foundations of this belief system. Sizer argues that the uncritical evangelical support for the State of Israel is born of a profound theological error—a modern heresy that has severe, real-world consequences for the indigenous Christian communities of the Middle East and the broader pursuit of justice and peace. By comprehensively deconstructing the origins, mechanics, and outcomes of this theology, Sizer positions himself not as a political agitator, but as a defender of historic, orthodox Christian doctrine against the encroaching forces of an apocalyptic nationalism.

1.1. Defining Christian Zionism

To understand Sizer's critique, one must first precisely define his target. Christian Zionism is a theological and political movement that advocates for the return of the Jewish people to the Holy Land and asserts that the founding of the modern State of Israel in 1948 was a direct fulfillment of biblical prophecy. While many modern evangelicals assume this has always been the default orthodox Christian position, Sizer meticulously demonstrates that it is, in fact, a relatively recent theological innovation.

Sizer defines Christian Zionism as an exclusive, ethno-centric theology that relies on a hyper-literal and futurist hermeneutic of the Old Testament. At its core, the movement insists that the biblical covenant God made with Abraham regarding the land of Canaan remains unconditionally valid and eternally binding for the modern geopolitical State of Israel. Consequently, Christian Zionists believe that Christians are biblically mandated to support the State of Israel politically, financially, and morally. In Sizer's analysis, this theology inextricably links the spiritual blessings of the Christian church to its material support for a secular nation-state. By equating the modern, secular, and often highly militarized nation of Israel with the biblical Israelites, Christian Zionism effectively provides theological justification for the displacement of Palestinians and the expansion of colonial settlements. Sizer views this not as a benign theological quirk, but as a dangerous ideology that baptizes systemic injustice and military occupation in the language of divine mandate.

1.2. The Scope of Sizer's Academic Project

The breadth of Sizer's academic project is immense, encompassing history, biblical exegesis, and international relations. His seminal work, originally born from his doctoral research and published as a definitive text on the subject, maps the entire architecture of the Christian Zionist movement. Sizer's critique operates on three primary axes. First, he traces the historical genesis of the movement, proving that it did not originate with the Apostles or the Reformers, but rather emerged from the sectarian fringes of nineteenth-century British premillennialism before being exported and institutionalized in America.

Second, Sizer tackles the theological mechanics of the movement, directing devastating scrutiny toward Dispensationalism—the specific theological system that separates God's redemptive plan into two distinct tracks: one for the Church and one for national Israel. He focuses heavily on figures like Cyrus Scofield, demonstrating how highly questionable theological interpretations were mainstreamed into American evangelicalism through annotated study Bibles. Finally, Sizer addresses the political and ethical implications of this theology. He documents how Christian Zionism actively lobbyies against peace processes, opposes the two-state solution, and accelerates regional instability under the guise of hastening Armageddon. Sizer approaches this monumental critique not as a secular political scientist, but as a committed evangelical insider pleading with the global Church to recognize the moral bankruptcy of supporting apartheid and ethnic cleansing in the name of God.

1.3. The Methodology of Steelmanning the Critique

The public discourse surrounding Stephen Sizer has frequently been marred by intense polarization, institutional censure, and severe accusations regarding his political associations. Critics have often attempted to dismiss his theological scholarship by weaponizing the controversies surrounding his activism. Therefore, to truly understand the weight and significance of his work, it is necessary to employ the methodology of steelmanning.

To steelman Sizer is to reconstruct his arguments in their most robust, academically rigorous, and morally coherent form. It requires stripping away ad hominem attacks and focusing strictly on the substantive historical and theological evidence he presents. In this paper, steelmanning means treating his analysis of nineteenth-century British millenarianism as a serious work of historical theology. It means evaluating his critique of Cyrus Scofield not as a personal vendetta, but as a necessary exposure of flawed biblical hermeneutics. Most importantly, it means honoring his core ethical consistency: the conviction that a theology claiming to represent Jesus Christ cannot simultaneously endorse the systemic oppression, marginalization, and eradication of the Palestinian people, including Palestinian Christians. By presenting the strongest possible version of Sizer's covenantal counter-narrative, this paper will demonstrate that his overarching

thesis is not only intellectually formidable but essential for reclaiming a biblical vision of justice, peacemaking, and the universal scope of the Christian gospel.

2. The Historical Origins of Christian Zionism

Sizer's historical deconstruction of Christian Zionism begins with a vital paradigm shift: dismantling the pervasive myth that modern evangelical support for the State of Israel is the historic, orthodox position of the Christian faith. By rigorously examining church history, Sizer demonstrates that the early church, the medieval church, and the primary Reformers maintained a covenantal theology in which the Church is the continuation and fulfillment of God's promises to ancient Israel. Christian Zionism, therefore, is not a recovery of primitive apostolic theology, but a novel theological invention. Sizer locates the genesis of this movement not in the pages of the New Testament, but in the geopolitical anxiety and sectarian fervor of nineteenth-century Britain. By tracking the intellectual and theological lineage of this movement, Sizer proves that Christian Zionism preceded Jewish political Zionism by several decades. It was Christian theologians and politicians who first formulated the ideological architecture of Jewish restoration to Palestine, effectively inventing the political framework that would later dominate the Middle East.

2.1. Pre-Jewish Zionism: The Christian Roots of a Nationalist Movement

A cornerstone of Sizer's historical critique is the revelation that Zionism was a Christian project long before it became a Jewish one. Mainstream historical narratives often begin with Theodor Herzl and the First Zionist Congress in the late nineteenth century. However, Sizer's research pushes the timeline back significantly, revealing that Christian clerics and politicians were actively lobbying for the restoration of Jews to Palestine as early as the 1820s. This proto-Christian Zionism was catalyzed by figures such as Lewis Way, who began publishing pamphlets urging Christians to pray for the literal restoration of the Jews to their ancient homeland based on a newly popularized futurist reading of Old Testament prophecy.

Sizer illustrates how this theological speculation was translated into political action by influential British aristocrats, most notably Lord Shaftesbury. Decades before the Jewish community expressed widespread interest in returning to the Levant, Shaftesbury used his considerable political leverage to advance the idea that the British Empire had a divine mandate to facilitate this restoration. Through extensive lobbying, Shaftesbury successfully embedded Christian Zionist themes into British foreign policy, predisposing the British government to support a Jewish national home in Palestine long before the Balfour Declaration. Sizer's analysis fundamentally reframes the narrative: political Zionism was not an organic Jewish initiative that

Christians later supported; rather, it was a Christian theological imperative that was actively exported to the Jewish people and imposed upon the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East.

2.2. Nineteenth-Century British Millenarianism

To understand how a minority theological opinion evolved into a dominant political force, Sizer meticulously examines the theological incubator of nineteenth-century British millenarianism. The upheaval of the French Revolution had profoundly destabilized the European intellectual and spiritual climate, prompting a surge of apocalyptic anxiety among conservative Christians. In this environment of crisis, traditional allegorical and covenantal interpretations of biblical prophecy were abandoned in favor of an ultra-literal, futurist hermeneutic. Sizer focuses heavily on the Albury Prophecy Conferences of the 1820s, hosted by Henry Drummond and heavily influenced by Edward Irving. At these conferences, the foundations of modern Christian Zionism were laid. The attendees promoted the idea that biblical references to Israel must be applied strictly to the literal, physical nation of Israel, rather than spiritually to the Church.

It was from this fervent, prophetic milieu that John Nelson Darby emerged. Darby, a former clergyman who became a leading figure in the Plymouth Brethren, systematized these disparate apocalyptic ideas into a comprehensive theological framework known as dispensationalism. Darby introduced the radical, unprecedented concept that God possesses two distinct peoples with two separate destinies: the Church, which has a heavenly destiny, and national Israel, which has an earthly destiny centered in Palestine. Sizer identifies Darby's theology as the critical rupture in historic Christian orthodoxy. By cleanly severing Israel from the Church, Darby provided the essential theological scaffolding required to justify the separate, elevated, and permanent status of the Jewish people in the land of Canaan, irrespective of their relationship to Jesus Christ.

2.3. The Shift from European Margins to American Mainstream

If Britain provided the theological incubator for Christian Zionism, the United States provided its most fertile soil. Sizer's historical trace follows John Nelson Darby as he crossed the Atlantic, undertaking multiple missionary journeys to North America between the 1860s and 1870s. Darby's pessimistic, apocalyptic theology found an eager audience among American evangelicals who were reeling from the trauma of the Civil War and the rising tide of theological liberalism and scientific rationalism. Sizer details how Darby successfully converted influential American church leaders, such as James Brookes, who is often regarded as the father of American dispensationalism.

Through the rapidly expanding network of summer Bible and prophecy conferences, Darby's theological innovations were systematically disseminated across the American evangelical landscape. What Sizer emphasizes is the tragic irony of this theological migration: a system of

thought that originated as a sectarian, separatist movement in Britain was successfully rebranded as the very definition of conservative biblical orthodoxy in America. As new Bible institutes and seminaries were established to combat modernist theology, they universally adopted Darby's dispensational framework as their theological core. Sizer argues that this institutionalization was the turning point in Christian Zionist history. By embedding this eschatological framework into the pastoral training centers of the United States, Christian Zionism ceased to be a fringe British peculiarity and became the assumed, unquestioned theological default for millions of American Christians, setting the stage for the massive geopolitical influence the movement wields today.

3. Cyrus Scofield and the Institutionalization of Dispensationalism

Having established that Christian Zionism originated as a British millenarian sect, Sizer turns his attention to the mechanism by which it captured American evangelicalism. The pivotal figure in this transatlantic theological conquest was not an academic theologian or a historic church council, but a charismatic and controversial former lawyer named Cyrus Ingerson Scofield. If John Nelson Darby provided the theological raw materials of dispensationalism, it was Scofield who manufactured them into an accessible, mass-market product. Sizer argues that the unprecedented success of the Christian Zionist movement in the twentieth century cannot be understood apart from the life, work, and publishing ingenuity of C.I. Scofield. Through a combination of historical contextualization and theological critique, Sizer dismantles the venerated image of Scofield, exposing a profound disconnect between the immense authority he wields over modern evangelical eschatology and the highly dubious foundation of his personal and theological credentials.

3.1. The Character and Credibility of Cyrus Ingerson Scofield

In steelmanning Sizer's critique, it is necessary to address his unflinching examination of Scofield's personal history, which Sizer argues is inextricably linked to the credibility of his theological system. Sizer famously characterizes Scofield as a "charlatan and heretic," a jarring description aimed at shattering the hagiography that often surrounds the man widely considered the father of American dispensationalism. Sizer meticulously documents Scofield's troubled past prior to his conversion and subsequent entry into ministry. This history includes credible allegations of financial fraud, political corruption, and the abandonment of his first wife and two daughters. While evangelical tradition celebrates Scofield's conversion as a dramatic turnaround, Sizer points out that even after entering the ministry, Scofield exhibited a consistent pattern of self-aggrandizement and deception.

Crucially, Sizer highlights Scofield's tendency to embellish his own credentials. Scofield frequently referred to himself as "Dr. Scofield," despite lacking any formal theological training or an earned academic doctorate. Sizer does not raise these biographical details merely to engage in ad hominem attacks, but to pose a critical epistemological question: Why has the global evangelical church entrusted its understanding of biblical prophecy, the nature of the Church, and the geopolitical destiny of the Middle East to a man with such a demonstrably compromised character and a profound lack of formal theological education? For Sizer, the moral failings of this Christian leader serve as a primary warning sign regarding the reliability of the theological system he subsequently constructed.

3.2. The Architecture of the Scofield Reference Bible

The primary vehicle for Scofield's theological influence was not a systematic theology textbook, but rather the Scofield Reference Bible, first published in 1909. Sizer analyzes the architectural genius of this publication, recognizing it as one of the most effective and subversive pieces of theological literature in modern church history. Prior to Scofield, Bible commentaries were typically published as separate volumes, requiring the reader to actively consult an external source. Scofield's innovation was to embed his dispensational commentary directly onto the pages of the biblical text itself.

Sizer unpacks how this formatting choice fundamentally altered the reading experience. By placing his interpretive notes, cross-references, and timeline dates directly alongside the authoritative words of Scripture, Scofield created a closed interpretive loop. To the lay reader, the distinction between the divinely inspired text of the Bible and the human, fallible commentary of C.I. Scofield began to blur. Sizer points out that Scofield's notes guided the reader through a highly specific grid, instructing them on exactly how to "rightly divide the word of truth." This meant teaching readers to proactively categorize every biblical passage into one of seven distinct dispensational eras, and more importantly, to strictly divide promises made to Israel from promises made to the Church. Through this brilliant editorial architecture, Scofield ensured that a reader could not engage with the biblical text without simultaneously absorbing the dispensational framework as the presumed, natural meaning of the passage.

3.3. How Commentary Became Canon in American Evangelicalism

The final phase of Scofield's institutionalization of dispensationalism was the unprecedented cultural and ecclesiastical triumph of his reference Bible. Sizer traces how the Scofield Reference Bible became the undisputed standard for American fundamentalism during the early twentieth century. Its publication by the prestigious Oxford University Press lent it an aura of academic respectability and orthodox authority that shielded it from critical scrutiny. During the Fundamentalist-Modernist controversy, as conservative Christians sought a bulwark against

theological liberalism, the Scofield Bible was adopted as the ultimate litmus test for biblical fidelity.

Sizer argues that the consequences of this widespread adoption were catastrophic for historic covenant theology. As millions of copies flooded American churches, Bible institutes, and seminaries, Scofield's highly specific, nineteenth-century eschatological views were elevated to the status of unquestionable dogma. Entire generations of pastors and laypeople were raised reading a Bible that explicitly taught the permanent separation of Israel and the Church, the impending rapture, and the restoration of a literal Jewish kingdom in Palestine. Sizer concludes that over the span of a few decades, Scofield's commentary effectively became canon. The dispensational lens became so deeply ingrained in the evangelical psyche that to question Christian Zionism was no longer seen as debating a complex point of eschatology, but as denying the authority of the Bible itself. This canonization of commentary laid the immovable theological bedrock upon which the modern, politically mobilized Christian Zionist movement would later be built.

4. The Theological Mechanics of Dispensationalism

Having established the historical and biographical context of the Christian Zionist movement, Sizer directs his analytical focus toward the internal theological mechanics of its driving ideology: dispensationalism. Sizer recognizes that Christian Zionism is not merely a political reflex but the necessary, logical outcome of a highly specific hermeneutical system. To dismantle the political movement, one must dismantle the theological framework that sustains it. Sizer's critique of dispensationalism is rigorous and multi-faceted, targeting the way this system fundamentally alters the orthodox Christian understanding of biblical history, the nature of the Church, and the identity of the people of God. He argues that dispensationalism operates by applying an artificial, rigid grid over the biblical text, forcing Scripture into unnatural categories that ultimately undermine the unifying work of Jesus Christ. By dissecting the core tenets of dispensationalism, Sizer exposes a theology that not only misreads the Bible but actively subverts the central message of the New Testament gospel.

4.1. The Seven Dispensations and the Fragmentation of Biblical History

At the heart of the dispensational system popularized by Cyrus Scofield is the division of human history into seven distinct eras, or dispensations. According to this framework, each dispensation represents a specific period during which God tests humanity according to a unique set of rules or covenantal parameters, ranging from the dispensation of Innocence in the Garden of Eden to the future dispensation of the Millennial Kingdom. Sizer launches a devastating critique against this rigid compartmentalization of redemptive history. He argues

that the seven dispensations are not organically derived from the biblical text but are an external, man-made construct imposed upon it.

Sizer contends that this system fundamentally fragments the unified narrative of Scripture. In historic orthodox theology, the Bible is understood as a single, cohesive story of redemption that culminates in the person and work of Jesus Christ. The various covenants in the Old Testament are seen as progressive revelations of God's grace, building upon one another until they are ultimately fulfilled in the New Covenant. However, Sizer points out that Scofield's dispensationalism severs this continuity. By artificially compartmentalizing history, dispensationalism teaches that God operates using entirely different methods of salvation and governance in different eras. Sizer argues that this fragmentation inevitably leads to the theological error of separating the Old Testament from the New Testament, treating the Hebrew Scriptures merely as a manual for the future destiny of national Israel rather than as a pointer to Jesus Christ. This atomization of the Bible destroys the grand, sweeping narrative of grace, replacing it with a disjointed series of failed human tests that require God to constantly shift His strategies.

4.2. The Denigration of the Church as a "Parenthesis"

Perhaps the most controversial and, in Sizer's view, theologically damaging aspect of dispensationalism is its doctrine regarding the Christian Church. Because dispensationalists believe that God's primary, eternal promises were made exclusively to the physical nation of Israel, they are forced to explain the existence of the predominantly Gentile Church. Sizer exposes how dispensational theology resolves this problem by teaching that the Church is merely a "parenthesis" in the divine timeline. According to this view, Jesus initially came to offer a literal, earthly kingdom to the Jewish people. When the nation of Israel rejected this offer and crucified Him, God temporarily suspended His prophetic timeline for Israel and instituted a "Plan B"—the Church Age.

Sizer strongly condemns this characterization of the Church. He argues that reducing the bride of Christ to an afterthought or a temporary holding pattern represents a profound denigration of the central mystery of the gospel. Drawing heavily on the epistles of Paul, particularly the book of Ephesians, Sizer demonstrates that the Church was not an accidental parenthesis necessitated by human rejection, but rather the eternal mystery hidden in God before the foundation of the world. By teaching that the Church age will soon end with a secret rapture, allowing God to return to His primary work with national Israel, dispensationalism marginalizes the very institution that Christ died to establish. Sizer views this as a dangerous distortion that strips the Church of its prophetic voice and its ultimate significance in the redemptive purposes of God.

4.3. The Elevation of National Israel over the Body of Christ

The logical corollary to the denigration of the Church is the unwarranted theological elevation of national Israel. Sizer meticulously unpacks the dual-covenant theology inherent in dispensationalism, which posits that God has two distinct peoples with two separate destinies: a heavenly destiny for the Church and an earthly destiny for ethnic, national Israel. Because the Church is viewed as a temporary parenthesis, dispensationalists believe that the true, literal fulfillment of biblical prophecy must eventually center on a restored Jewish nation in the Middle East.

Sizer argues that this theological maneuver has disastrous consequences. It essentially teaches that ethnic descent and national identity are superior to spiritual rebirth in Christ. By asserting that the modern secular State of Israel enjoys unique divine favor and unconditional biblical mandates irrespective of its moral conduct or its acceptance of Jesus, dispensationalism bypasses the cross. Sizer forcefully contends that in the New Testament, the only true Israel is Jesus Christ Himself, and the only true children of Abraham are those who share the faith of Abraham, regardless of their ethnic origin. To elevate a modern geopolitical nation-state to a place of supreme theological importance over the global, multi-ethnic body of Christ is, in Sizer's analysis, a regression to the very ethnocentrism that the Apostle Paul spent his life dismantling. It is this specific theological elevation that provides the essential ideological fuel for Christian Zionism, transforming a flawed hermeneutic into a potent and destructive political weapon.

5. Sizer's Covenantal Counter-Narrative

To adequately steelman Stephen Sizer, it is essential to recognize that his project is not merely destructive. He does not simply tear down the scaffolding of dispensationalism; rather, he replaces it by recovering the historic, orthodox hermeneutic of covenant theology. For Sizer, the debate over Christian Zionism is not ultimately a political dispute, but a profound hermeneutical crisis regarding how Christians are supposed to read their Bibles. Christian Zionists frequently accuse critics of employing replacement theology, a loaded term suggesting that God maliciously discarded the Jewish people and replaced them with a completely disconnected Gentile Church. Sizer categorically rejects this framing. Instead, he advocates for fulfillment theology, an interpretive lens deeply rooted in the Magisterial Reformation and the writings of the early Church Fathers. In this framework, the promises God made to the patriarchs and the nation of Israel are not revoked, nor are they suspended; rather, they are spectacularly fulfilled, expanded, and universally applied through the incarnation, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ.

5.1. The Continuity of the Covenants in Historic Orthodoxy

At the foundation of Sizer's counter-narrative is the principle of covenantal continuity. Where dispensationalism fragments biblical history into distinct eras with differing rules of engagement, Sizer emphasizes the unifying redemptive storyline of Scripture. Drawing heavily on the Epistle to the Hebrews, Sizer argues that the relationship between the Old Testament and the New Testament is one of shadow and reality. The institutions, laws, and territorial boundaries of the Old Covenant were divinely ordained, but they were explicitly designed by God to be temporary, pedagogical shadows pointing toward a permanent reality.

Sizer contends that Christian Zionists make a fundamental categorical error by confusing the shadow with the substance. When Christian Zionists demand a hyper-literal fulfillment of Old Testament prophecies concerning the restoration of the Jewish state, they are effectively demanding a return to the shadows. Sizer argues that God has only one continuous redemptive plan and only one people of God. The Church does not replace Israel; the Church is the continuation, the faithful remnant, and the eschatological blossoming of true Israel. As the Apostle Paul argues in his letter to the Romans, Gentile believers are not a separate entity with a separate destiny, but wild olive shoots graciously grafted into the single, historic olive tree of God's covenant people. By maintaining this continuity, Sizer dismantles the theological necessity for a separate, geopolitical destiny for the modern State of Israel, viewing the multi-ethnic Church as the ultimate realization of God's promise to Abraham that he would be a blessing to all nations.

5.2. Jesus Christ as the True Temple and the True Israel

The sharpest theological pivot in Sizer's critique revolves around Christology. He argues that dispensational Christian Zionism suffers from a severely truncated view of Jesus Christ, effectively bypassing the cross in its eschatological calculations. For Sizer, any biblical theology must be radically Christocentric. Jesus is not merely a savior of individual souls while the geopolitical nation of Israel handles the earthly kingdom; Jesus is the very embodiment and fulfillment of everything the nation of Israel was meant to be. Where the ancient nation of Israel failed in the wilderness through disobedience, Jesus, the true Son of God, succeeded through perfect obedience. When Jesus declares Himself the true vine, He is adopting the classic Old Testament symbol for Israel and applying it directly to Himself. Sizer emphasizes that to be part of the true Israel is not a matter of biological descent or ethnic DNA, but of spiritual union with Jesus Christ.

This Christocentric lens extends to Sizer's treatment of the Jerusalem Temple. A major tenet of Christian Zionism is the fervent anticipation of a rebuilt Third Temple in Jerusalem, complete with a reinstated Aaronic priesthood and animal sacrifices, which they believe is necessary for

the fulfillment of end-times prophecy. Sizer condemns this view as a blasphemous theological regression. He points out that Jesus explicitly identified His own physical body as the true temple. With the tearing of the temple veil at the crucifixion and the subsequent destruction of the physical building in the first century, God decisively ended the centralized, localized sacrificial system. In the New Covenant era, the Holy Spirit indwells believers, making the global body of Christ the living temple. For Sizer, advocating for the reconstruction of a stone temple in the Middle East and the resumption of animal sacrifices is an affront to the finished, all-sufficient atoning work of Jesus Christ on the cross.

5.3. The Universalization of the Land Promises in the New Testament

Perhaps the most contentious issue Sizer addresses is the biblical theology of the land. Christian Zionists claim that the covenant God made with Abraham grants the Jewish people an unconditional, everlasting right to the physical territory of Canaan from the Nile to the Euphrates. Sizer systematically deconstructs this territorial exclusivity. First, he demonstrates from the Hebrew Scriptures themselves that residency in the Promised Land was never unconditional. The Book of Leviticus states explicitly that the land belongs to God, and the Israelites were merely tenants and aliens residing in it. Their continued occupation of the land was strictly conditional upon their ethical obedience, particularly regarding justice for the poor, the widow, and the foreigner.

Furthermore, Sizer argues that the New Testament deliberately universalizes the land promises. When Jesus Christ arrives, the localized, geographical boundaries of the kingdom are shattered. Jesus intentionally de-territorializes the faith, declaring to the Samaritan woman that true worship will no longer be tied to a specific mountain or to Jerusalem, but will be in spirit and in truth. Sizer highlights the Apostle Paul's interpretation in the Epistle to the Romans, where Paul states that the promise to Abraham was not that he would inherit a specific strip of Middle Eastern real estate, but that he would be the heir of the entire world. In the Sermon on the Mount, Jesus does not promise that the Jewish people will inherit Palestine; He promises that the meek will inherit the earth. Sizer argues that to restrict the promises of God to a localized geopolitical nation-state is to shrink the spectacular cosmic scope of the gospel. The physical land of Canaan was a localized prototype, a kindergarten model intended to point the patriarchs toward a much grander reality. As the writer of Hebrews notes, the patriarchs were ultimately looking past the physical dirt of Palestine toward a heavenly city whose architect and builder is God. Therefore, Sizer concludes that Christian Zionism's obsession with territorial borders represents a fundamental misunderstanding of the universal, restorative, and spiritual nature of the Kingdom of God.

6. The Geopolitical Weaponization of Eschatology

Having dismantled the historical and hermeneutical foundations of dispensationalism, Sizer transitions to the most urgent and consequential aspect of his critique: the real-world application of this theology. Sizer argues that Christian Zionism is not merely a benign quirk of evangelical subculture; it is a highly mobilized, massively funded political engine that actively shapes international relations. When a massive voting bloc adopts an eschatology that requires a militarized, expanding Jewish state in the Middle East to trigger the second coming of Christ, the consequences for global diplomacy are catastrophic. Sizer exposes how theological speculation in the pews is translated into disastrous foreign policy in the halls of government. He contends that Christian Zionism effectively weaponizes biblical prophecy, transforming it from a message of cosmic hope into a geopolitical script that demands military escalation, actively undermines peace processes, and provides unconditional divine sanction for human rights abuses.

6.1. Prophecy as a Driver of Foreign Policy

Sizer argues that dispensationalism operates with an inherent apocalyptic fatalism that is incredibly dangerous when applied to statecraft. Christian Zionists read the books of Daniel, Ezekiel, and Revelation not as ancient apocalyptic literature intended to comfort persecuted believers, but as a literal, predictive puzzle detailing modern geopolitical events. In this framework, the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, the capture of Jerusalem in 1967, and the gathering of global adversaries in the Middle East are viewed as the ticking clock of the end times. Sizer highlights the profound danger of this worldview: if the Bible mandates a final, bloody conflagration in the valley of Megiddo prior to the return of Christ, then diplomatic efforts to achieve lasting peace are not only futile but actively contrary to the will of God.

This fatalistic eschatology inevitably drives a hawkish foreign policy. Sizer documents how prominent Christian Zionist leaders actively lobby against land-for-peace initiatives, the Oslo Accords, and the two-state solution because any concession of biblical territory by the Israeli government delays the prophetic timeline. Furthermore, this theology requires the perpetual vilification of Israel's neighbors. Christian Zionists routinely map modern nations like Russia, Iran, and various Arab states onto the demonic forces prophesied to attack Israel in the last days. Sizer argues that when politicians and their electoral bases believe that a catastrophic war in the Middle East is a divine necessity, diplomacy is paralyzed, militarism is glorified, and the gospel of peace is entirely subverted by a theology of inevitable bloodshed.

6.2. The Evangelical Lobby and Unconditional Support for the State of Israel

To understand how this eschatology influences the secular state, Sizer thoroughly analyzes the mechanics of the Christian Zionist lobbying apparatus, primarily in the United States. He points

to the immense organizational and financial power of these lobbying groups, which marshal millions of evangelical voters to ensure that American foreign policy remains entirely subservient to the interests of the Israeli political right wing. Sizer argues that this lobby operates on a gross misinterpretation of the Abrahamic covenant, specifically the promise in the book of Genesis that God will bless those who bless Abraham and curse those who curse him.

By falsely equating the modern, secular State of Israel with the biblical patriarch, Christian Zionist leaders convince their congregations that the economic and spiritual prosperity of the United States is entirely contingent upon its uncritical military and financial support for the Israeli government. Sizer exposes how this creates a moral blank check. Because the geopolitical state is viewed through an idealized, prophetic lens, the evangelical lobby successfully shields the Israeli government from international accountability. Whether addressing the expansion of illegal settlements, the demolition of Palestinian homes, or violations of international law, the Christian Zionist lobby demands that Western governments turn a blind eye. In Sizer's analysis, this transforms the Christian mandate to pursue justice into a mandate to sponsor systemic oppression, all under the guise of being a faithful friend to Israel.

6.3. The Dangerous Convergence of Dispensationalism and Christian Nationalism

In his later analysis, Sizer identifies a terrifying synthesis: the merging of dispensational Christian Zionism with Western Christian nationalism. Sizer argues that these two ideologies are entirely symbiotic. Christian nationalism operates on the premise that a specific Western nation, often the United States, enjoys a unique, covenantal relationship with God and possesses a divine mandate to enforce its will on the global stage. When this hegemonic worldview is fused with dispensationalism, the defense of the State of Israel becomes the ultimate litmus test of American national righteousness.

Sizer warns that this convergence creates a deeply supremacist and idolatrous civil religion. It conflates the cross of Jesus Christ with the military might of the empire and the territorial ambitions of a foreign ethno-state. In this paradigm, American military interventions in the Middle East are often justified in the minds of evangelical voters not by secular strategic interests, but as holy wars necessary to protect God's prophetic timeline. Sizer is uncompromising in his condemnation of this synthesis. He argues that it completely strips the Christian faith of its trans-national, multi-ethnic identity, replacing the suffering servant model of Jesus with a cult of militarized power. Ultimately, Sizer contends that this dangerous convergence ensures that millions of Western Christians view the indigenous populations of the Middle East not as human beings made in the image of God, but as prophetic collateral damage—inconvenient obstacles standing in the way of a politically manufactured apocalypse.

7. The Ethical Contradictions of Christian Zionism in the Middle East

While the historical and hermeneutical errors of dispensationalism are profound, Sizer argues that the ultimate test of any theology is its ethical fruit. In his assessment, the ethical fruit of Christian Zionism is not merely deficient; it is entirely contradictory to the moral teachings of Jesus Christ. Sizer moves the debate from the sterile environment of academic eschatology into the brutal, lived reality of the Middle East, demanding that Western evangelicals confront the human cost of their prophetic timelines. He argues that by granting unconditional theological support to the modern State of Israel, Christian Zionism forces believers into an impossible ethical paradox: attempting to preach a gospel of universal love, reconciliation, and justice while simultaneously underwriting a geopolitical regime of systemic oppression. For Sizer, a theology that requires the subjugation of one people group to facilitate the eschatological destiny of another is morally bankrupt. By dissecting the ethical landscape of Christian Zionism, Sizer exposes how a movement that claims to take the Bible literally consistently ignores the Bible's most explicit commands regarding justice for the oppressed, the foreigner, and the marginalized.

7.1. The Theological Justification for Apartheid and Ethnic Cleansing

The most devastating ethical charge Sizer levels against Christian Zionism is its role in providing divine justification for the dispossession of the Palestinian people. Sizer observes that in secular geopolitical discourse, human rights organizations and international courts frequently debate the application of terms like apartheid, military occupation, and ethnic cleansing to the actions of the Israeli government. However, within the framework of Christian Zionism, these actions are not viewed as violations of international law, but as necessary steps in the fulfillment of biblical prophecy. Sizer demonstrates how Christian Zionists routinely weaponize the Old Testament narratives of Joshua's conquest of Canaan, applying them directly to the modern Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

In this distorted paradigm, the indigenous Palestinian population—including Palestinian Christians—are cast in the role of the ancient Canaanites, Philistines, or Amalekites. They are viewed not as human beings possessing inherent dignity and rights, but as illegitimate squatters on land divinely deeded to the Jewish people. Sizer forcefully argues that this theology sanitizes human rights abuses. When the bulldozing of Palestinian homes, the construction of separation walls, and the expansion of illegal colonial settlements are preached from Western pulpits as the miraculous unfolding of God's plan, the global Church becomes complicit in a colossal injustice. Sizer contends that Christian Zionism effectively baptizes apartheid, teaching that God is an ethnic nationalist who demands the geographic purity of the Holy Land at the expense of the basic human rights of the Arab population. This, Sizer insists, is a grotesque distortion of the

biblical God, whose prophets consistently threatened judgment against Israel precisely when the nation failed to execute justice and protect the alien residing in their borders.

7.2. The Moral Bankruptcy of "End-Times" Militarism

Sizer further critiques the ethical vacuum created by the apocalyptic fatalism of Christian Zionism, which he terms the moral bankruptcy of end-times militarism. Because dispensational theology anticipates a catastrophic global war culminating in the battle of Armageddon as a prerequisite for the return of Christ, Christian Zionists often view geopolitical stability and peace as enemies of divine providence. Sizer analyzes how this eschatological bloodlust completely inverts the ethics of the New Testament. Instead of embodying the beatitude of the peacemakers, Christian Zionism cultivates a culture that celebrates military dominance, advocates for preemptive strikes against perceived enemies of Israel, and rejoices in regional conflict as the birth pangs of the Messiah.

Sizer points out the horrifying cognitive dissonance required to sustain this worldview. Western Christians, sitting safely in their sanctuaries thousands of miles away, actively cheer for the escalation of violence in the Levant, entirely insulated from the resulting bloodshed. Sizer argues that this reduces the people of the Middle East to mere props in an apocalyptic drama authored in the West. He asserts that a theology which bypasses the Sermon on the Mount to embrace the sword has abandoned the core ethical mandate of the Christian faith. By rejecting diplomacy and glorifying military force as an instrument of divine will, Christian Zionism exchanges the cross of the suffering servant for the weapons of empire, rendering it incapable of speaking a credible word of moral authority to a broken world.

7.3. Sizer’s Condemnation of the Supremacist Worldview

At the root of these ethical failures, Sizer identifies a deeply entrenched supremacist worldview that he believes is entirely incompatible with the gospel. Dispensational Christian Zionism inherently demands a racial and ethnic hierarchy. By insisting that God still maintains a uniquely privileged, covenantal relationship with the physical descendants of Abraham based strictly on their ethnicity, the theology inherently degrades the spiritual and human status of everyone else, particularly the Arab neighbors of the State of Israel. Sizer argues that this ethnic exceptionalism functions as a theological caste system, where the rights, safety, and sovereignty of the Jewish state are of supreme divine importance, while the lives and liberties of the Palestinian people are deemed prophetically irrelevant.

Sizer attacks this supremacist framework using the explicit teachings of the Apostle Paul. He notes that the central theological breakthrough of the New Testament, heavily debated and settled by the early Church at the Council of Jerusalem, was the absolute eradication of ethnic hierarchies before God. In Christ, there is neither Jew nor Greek, slave nor free. Sizer argues that

by rebuilding the dividing wall of hostility that Christ's death explicitly destroyed, Christian Zionism commits a profound theological regression. Sizer's condemnation is uncompromising: any theology that elevates one ethnic group over another, and uses the name of God to justify the systemic supremacy of that group, is fundamentally racist. For Sizer, the ethical integrity of the Christian witness requires the total rejection of this supremacist ideology, replacing it with a radical commitment to the equal dignity, rights, and beloved status of all people, Israelis and Palestinians alike, who are created in the image of God.

8. The Reality of Palestinian Christians

The most glaring and tragic casualty of Christian Zionist theology, according to Stephen Sizer, is the systematic erasure of the indigenous Palestinian Christian community. Sizer relentlessly forces Western evangelicals to confront the existence of their Middle Eastern brethren, a demographic that severely disrupts the neat eschatological categories of dispensationalism. For decades, Sizer has championed the cause of the "Living Stones," a term used to describe the continuous Christian presence in the Holy Land that traces its lineage directly back to the Day of Pentecost. Sizer argues that the blind spot of Christian Zionism is not merely an accident of geography or a lack of geopolitical awareness, but a deeply ingrained theological necessity. To maintain the binary narrative of a righteous Jewish state defending itself against a monolithic, hostile Islamic world, the Christian Zionist framework must aggressively ignore the vibrant, suffering, and ancient Arab Christian population living under Israeli military occupation. By centering the plight of Palestinian Christians, Sizer exposes the ultimate hypocrisy of Christian Zionism: a movement that claims to be defending the biblical faith while simultaneously funding and celebrating the eradication of the very Church that gave birth to it.

8.1. The Forgotten Believers in Evangelical Discourse

Sizer frequently highlights the cognitive dissonance experienced by Western evangelicals who travel to the Middle East on heavily curated Holy Land tours. Millions of Christian tourists flock to the region annually to walk in the footsteps of Jesus, yet they are systematically guided away from the contemporary Christian communities residing just miles from their hotels in Bethlehem and East Jerusalem. Sizer argues that the evangelical discourse has intentionally forgotten these believers because acknowledging their existence destroys the dispensational narrative. In the rigid, dualistic worldview of Christian Zionism, the modern geopolitical conflict is fundamentally framed as a civilizational clash between Judeo-Christian values and radical Islam. In this framework, Arabs are universally cast as the modern-day Amalekites or Philistines—the enemies of God's chosen people.

However, the reality of Arabic-speaking Christians who worship Jesus Christ, read the Bible, and suffer under Israeli occupation shatters this paradigm. Sizer demonstrates that Christian Zionists simply do not know what to do with Palestinian believers, because the theology has no category for an Arab who is part of the true Body of Christ but is simultaneously targeted by the military apparatus of the State of Israel. Therefore, the evangelical lobbying machine opts for silence and erasure. Sizer charges that Western pastors and politicians are far more concerned with the prophetic significance of empty tombs and ancient ruins—the "dead stones" of biblical archaeology—than they are with the "living stones" of the actual, breathing Church. This erasure, Sizer insists, is a profound theological betrayal. It reduces Arab Christians to eschatological collateral damage, proving that Christian Zionism values a strip of land and a prophetic timeline vastly more than it values the lives of fellow members of the household of faith.

8.2. Western Complicity in the Eradication of the Indigenous Church

Sizer's critique moves from the sin of omission to the sin of active complicity. He meticulously documents how the financial and political muscle of Western Christian Zionism directly facilitates the suffering and displacement of Palestinian Christians. Through organizations that raise millions of dollars annually from American and European congregations, Christian Zionists fund the migration of Jewish people to Israel and directly finance the construction of illegal settlements in the West Bank and East Jerusalem. Sizer points out the horrifying irony of this wealth transfer: offering plates in Western churches are passed to collect funds that are subsequently used to confiscate the ancestral lands and destroy the olive groves of Palestinian Christians.

Sizer argues that this uncritical support for the Israeli military occupation has created an unlivable environment for the indigenous Church. The suffocating reality of military checkpoints, the crippling economic strangulation caused by the separation wall, the denial of building permits, and the daily indignities of apartheid have triggered a massive exodus of Palestinian Christians from the Holy Land. Cities like Bethlehem, which once boasted a robust Christian majority, have seen their Christian populations decimated by emigration as families flee the occupation in search of basic human dignity and economic survival abroad. Sizer lays the blame for this demographic catastrophe squarely at the feet of the Western evangelical church. He argues that by blindly supporting the expansionist policies of the Israeli government in the name of biblical prophecy, Western Christians are actively financing the extinction of the Mother Church. For Sizer, this is a grotesque violation of the New Testament command to care for the persecuted Church, revealing a twisted theology where Christians enthusiastically fund the persecution of their own spiritual family.

8.3. Amplifying Palestinian Voices: The Kairos Document

In his effort to dismantle the hegemony of Western dispensationalism, Sizer serves as a primary conduit for amplifying the theological voices of the marginalized Palestinian Christian community. Central to this effort is his relentless promotion of the Kairos Palestine Document, published in 2009. Authored by a broad coalition of Palestinian Christian leaders, theologians, and patriarchs, the Kairos Document is a desperate theological cry for help addressed to the global Church. Sizer views this document not merely as a political manifesto, but as a definitive, prophetic text that challenges the moral bankruptcy of Christian Zionism from the ground level of human suffering.

Sizer aligns his own academic project seamlessly with the declarations of the Kairos Document. The document explicitly rejects the weaponization of the Bible to justify occupation, stating that the use of Scripture to legitimate the subjugation of the Palestinian people is a sin against God and humanity. Sizer argues that the global Church has a moral imperative to listen to these indigenous theologians rather than the wealthy, insulated prophecy teachers of the American Sunbelt. Furthermore, Sizer champions the Kairos Document's call for non-violent resistance, including its controversial endorsement of boycotts, divestment, and sanctions against the State of Israel. By steelmanning Sizer's reliance on the Kairos Document, one understands that his theology is fundamentally shaped by a liberationist hermeneutic. He reads the text of Scripture from the perspective of the oppressed rather than the perspective of the empire. Sizer contends that if Western Christians are to recover any semblance of biblical orthodoxy and moral integrity, they must decenter their own apocalyptic fantasies, sit at the feet of the Palestinian Church, and join them in their non-violent struggle for liberation, equality, and a just peace.

9. Sizer's Pastoral and Expository Framework

To fully comprehend and effectively steelman Stephen Sizer, it is necessary to rescue his legacy from the exclusive domain of geopolitical controversy and return it to its primary context: the local church. Critics frequently caricature Sizer as a mere political provocateur or a single-issue activist, divorcing his anti-Zionist scholarship from his daily vocation. However, Sizer's overarching project cannot be accurately understood apart from his identity as an ordained clergyman and a dedicated parish priest. His intense opposition to Christian Zionism does not originate in secular political science, but rather in the pastoral responsibility to protect his congregation from what he identifies as catastrophic theological error. By examining Sizer's pastoral and expository framework, one discovers that his public advocacy is the outward, logical extension of a deeply orthodox, historically grounded Anglican ministry. His hermeneutics, his homiletics, and his ethical paradigms are entirely structured around forming

disciples who reflect the character of Jesus Christ, rather than the ambitions of a geopolitical empire.

9.1. Grounding Activism in Traditional Anglican Ministry

For over two decades, Sizer served as the vicar of Christ Church, Virginia Water, a thriving conservative evangelical parish within the Church of England. In steelmanning his work, this institutional and pastoral context is vital. Sizer was not an isolated academic lobbing theological grenades from an ivory tower; he was a working pastor tasked with baptizing infants, counseling the bereaved, presiding over the Eucharist, and preaching week in and week out. His theology was forged and refined in the crucible of parish ministry, tethered to the historic liturgies of the Book of Common Prayer and the doctrinal boundaries of the Thirty-Nine Articles of Religion.

Sizer's activism is directly downstream from his pastoral mandate. In the Anglican tradition, the role of the priest is explicitly to banish and drive away all erroneous and strange doctrines contrary to God's Word. Sizer views dispensational Christian Zionism precisely as this kind of strange doctrine—a modern innovation that severely distorts the historic faith. His extensive writing and speaking against Christian Zionism are, therefore, an exercise in pastoral defense. He recognizes that his congregants, and the broader evangelical public, are constantly bombarded by sensationalist end-times literature, apocalyptic television broadcasts, and the pervasive cultural assumption that faithful Christianity requires defending the State of Israel. Sizer's objective has been to inoculate his flock against this fear-driven, fatalistic theology, replacing the anxiety of the "rapture" and the impending apocalypse with the quiet, confident hope of traditional Christian orthodoxy. His public activism is fundamentally a pastoral rescue mission, aiming to deliver believers from a theological system that he believes fundamentally misrepresents the character of God.

9.2. Reading the Hebrew Scriptures through a Christocentric Lens

The foundation of Sizer's pastoral ministry is his commitment to systematic, expository preaching, particularly his handling of the Old Testament. One of the most frequent accusations hurled by Christian Zionists against their critics is the charge of Marcionism—the ancient heresy that sought to unhitch the Christian faith from the Hebrew Scriptures and the God of the Old Testament. Sizer thoroughly defeats this accusation through his robust, Christocentric exposition of the Old Testament text. Far from discarding the Hebrew Bible, Sizer teaches his congregation to read it with greater depth, specifically through the hermeneutical paradigm established by Jesus Himself on the road to Emmaus, where Christ explained that all the Scriptures were fundamentally concerning Himself.

In Sizer's homiletics, the Old Testament is never treated as a disconnected history of a Middle Eastern nation, nor is it a coded almanac predicting modern secular geopolitics. Instead, Sizer

preaches the Hebrew Scriptures typologically. When he preaches on the Davidic monarchy, he is not building a theological case for a modern political state in Jerusalem; he is pointing his congregation to the eternal kingship of Jesus. When he preaches on the Exodus, he is not justifying modern territorial conquest; he is illustrating the definitive liberation from sin and death accomplished at the cross. By consistently filtering the Old Testament through the lens of the incarnation, crucifixion, and resurrection, Sizer trains his parishioners to find Jesus on every page of their Bibles. This pastoral hermeneutic directly neutralizes the appeal of dispensationalism. When a congregation is taught to see the magnificent, cosmic fulfillment of all biblical promises in the person of Jesus Christ, the hyper-literal, geographically restricted promises offered by Christian Zionism suddenly appear theologically impoverished and spiritually regressive.

9.3. The Gospel of Peace Versus the Gospel of Empire

Ultimately, Sizer's pastoral framework culminates in the ethical formation of his congregation. He recognizes that eschatology is not merely a theoretical debate about the future; it is the blueprint for how believers live in the present. If a congregation believes that God's ultimate plan involves a violent, militarized apocalypse in the Middle East, that congregation will inevitably cultivate a combative, militaristic ethos. Sizer directly confronts this by contrasting the "gospel of empire" championed by Christian Zionism with the "gospel of peace" inaugurated by Jesus Christ.

In Sizer's pastoral theology, the Sermon on the Mount is not relegated to a future millennial kingdom, as Scofield's dispensationalism asserts. Instead, it is the binding ethical constitution for the Church today. Sizer preaches a gospel that demands the love of enemies, the pursuit of reconciliation, and the rejection of retributive violence. He challenges his congregation to recognize that the Kingdom of God advances not through political coercion, military supremacy, or the subjugation of indigenous populations, but through the sacrificial, cross-bearing love of the saints. Sizer's pastoral legacy is thus defined by his effort to decouple the evangelical church from the machinery of state power and militarism. He calls the Church back to its historic identity as an international, multi-ethnic community of peacemakers. By grounding his controversial activism in the daily rhythms of pastoral care, biblical exposition, and ethical discipleship, Sizer demonstrates that his opposition to Christian Zionism is not a departure from the evangelical faith, but a profound and necessary return to its true center.

10. Confronting the Charge of Antisemitism

No analysis of Stephen Sizer's work can bypass the severe and persistent accusations of antisemitism that have been leveled against him throughout his career. Because Christian

Zionism intimately conflates the theological destiny of the Christian Church, the physical land of the Middle East, and the Jewish people, any systemic attack on this theology inevitably triggers highly charged allegations of racial and religious bigotry. Sizer has faced intense institutional scrutiny, media campaigns, and formal ecclesiastical discipline over these charges. However, to steelman Sizer requires a rigorous examination of his own defense and his careful delineation of categories. Sizer argues forcefully that the accusations against him are the result of a deliberate, calculated conflation of distinct concepts. By disentangling biblical interpretation, political ideology, and racial prejudice, Sizer constructs a defense that attempts to preserve the right of the Christian conscience to critique systemic injustice without crossing the line into ethnic hatred. In his view, exposing the errors of Christian Zionism is not an act of antisemitism, but rather a necessary defense of a universal, non-racist gospel.

10.1. Distinguishing Theological Critique from Racial Prejudice

The cornerstone of Sizer's defense against the charge of antisemitism relies on establishing strict categorical boundaries between Judaism as a historic religious faith, the Jewish people as an ethnic demographic, and Zionism as a modern, secular political ideology. Sizer argues that Christian Zionists and the Israeli lobbying apparatus intentionally blur these lines to create a protective shield around the State of Israel. When these categories are merged, any theological disagreement with dispensationalism or any political critique of the Israeli government is instantly translated into an attack on the Jewish people themselves.

Sizer rigorously dismantles this conflation. He argues that critiquing the political ideology of Zionism—a nineteenth-century European nationalist movement—is fundamentally no different from critiquing any other political ideology, be it socialism, capitalism, or American exceptionalism. Similarly, holding the modern, secular State of Israel accountable to international law and human rights standards is a normal function of global diplomacy, not an expression of racial hatred. Furthermore, Sizer points out that his theological critique of national Israel is rooted entirely in the biblical tradition itself. The Old Testament prophets—Isaiah, Jeremiah, Amos—delivered devastating, blistering critiques of the nation of Israel when it abandoned the demands of justice, oppressed the poor, and engaged in idolatry. Sizer argues that if holding the political state of Israel to a standard of ethical righteousness is antisemitic, then the Hebrew prophets themselves must be condemned as antisemites. By separating the political state from the ethnic people, Sizer carves out the necessary intellectual space for rigorous theological and political debate.

10.2. The Weaponization of Antisemitism to Silence Political Dissent

Moving beyond theoretical distinctions, Sizer forcefully argues that the charge of antisemitism has been effectively weaponized to silence legitimate human rights advocacy. He frequently

refers to this phenomenon as a "new McCarthyism," where the fear of being labeled an antisemite is used to terrorize politicians, academics, and clergy into absolute silence regarding the plight of the Palestinian people. Sizer observes that whenever Christian organizations or international bodies attempt to pass resolutions condemning the expansion of illegal settlements, the demolition of Palestinian homes, or the blockade of Gaza, the immediate reflex of Christian Zionist organizations is to launch accusations of antisemitism.

Sizer argues that this weaponization is not merely a rhetorical tactic, but a deeply cynical strategy that ultimately degrades the meaning of actual antisemitism. When the term is stretched to include any opposition to the military policies of a foreign government, it loses its descriptive power to identify and combat genuine racial hatred. Sizer is particularly critical of shifting institutional definitions of antisemitism that explicitly include anti-Zionism or severe criticism of the State of Israel. In his analysis, this represents a dangerous infringement on free speech and religious liberty. It essentially mandates a theological and political loyalty oath to a foreign ethno-state as a prerequisite for participating in the public square. By steelmanning this argument, Sizer emerges not as a purveyor of bigotry, but as an advocate for the integrity of language and the necessity of preserving a space where prophetic Christian witness can speak truth to geopolitical power without being destroyed by weaponized defamation.

10.3. Sizer's Absolute Rejection of Christian Racism

To complete the steelmanning of Sizer's position on this issue, one must highlight his explicit, categorical, and theological rejection of actual antisemitism. Sizer views true antisemitism—the hatred, marginalization, or persecution of Jewish people because of their ethnicity or religious heritage—as an abhorrent sin and a profound denial of the Christian gospel. He frequently acknowledges the dark, tragic history of Christian antisemitism in Europe, recognizing that the Church has often been complicit in the horrific suffering of the Jewish people, culminating in the atrocities of the Holocaust. Sizer does not deny this history; rather, he argues that Christian Zionism is the wrong remedy for this historical guilt.

Sizer insists that the antidote to the historical sin of antisemitism is not the uncritical endorsement of a modern, militarized ethno-state that oppresses another indigenous population. Instead, the true theological antidote is found in the radical egalitarianism of the New Covenant. Because Sizer's theology posits that the ground is completely level at the foot of the cross, there is absolutely no room for any form of ethnic supremacy or racial prejudice. God loves the Jewish person and the Palestinian person with exact, equal, and infinite measure. Ironically, Sizer turns the charge of racism back upon his accusers. He argues that it is actually dispensational Christian Zionism that is inherently prejudiced, as it constructs a theological system that elevates one ethnic group to a status of divine privilege while treating the Arab population as prophetically disposable. Sizer's defense concludes that true biblical orthodoxy

requires the complete eradication of all ethnic hierarchies. Therefore, his systemic dismantling of Christian Zionism is not an exercise in antisemitism, but a necessary crusade to purge the Church of the very concept of ethnic exclusivity, ensuring that the gospel remains truly good news for all people, regardless of their bloodline.

11. Interfaith Dialogue and the Peacemaker Trust

While Sizer is primarily known for his theological deconstruction of Christian Zionism, his ultimate objective is not merely academic critique but practical peacemaking. He recognizes that dismantling a theology of conflict must be paired with building a theology of reconciliation. To this end, Sizer's later career has been heavily defined by his founding of the Peacemaker Trust and his leadership within the Convivencia Alliance. These initiatives represent the constructive phase of his life's work, wherein he attempts to forge coalitions across religious and cultural divides to advocate for human rights, equality, and a shared democratic future in the Middle East. By steelmanning this phase of his advocacy, one sees a theologian moving beyond internal Christian polemics to engage in the difficult, necessary work of interfaith solidarity. Sizer argues that true biblical peacemaking requires leaving the safety of the sanctuary to stand alongside marginalized communities of all faiths, actively resisting the weaponization of religion and pursuing a model of coexistence grounded in justice rather than dominance.

11.1. Moving Beyond Polemics: The Pursuit of Convivencia

A central concept in Sizer's constructive framework is convivencia, a Spanish term historically used to describe the period of relative coexistence, cultural exchange, and mutual tolerance among Christians, Muslims, and Jews in medieval Andalusia. For Sizer and his allies, convivencia is not merely a nostalgic historical footnote, but a vital paradigm for the future of the Middle East. As the chair of the Convivencia Alliance, Sizer advocates for a political and social reality in Palestine and Israel where equal rights, shared democracy, and religious freedom replace ethno-nationalist supremacy.

Sizer argues that Christian Zionism operates on a paradigm of inevitable conflict, requiring the subjugation or expulsion of the non-Jewish population to achieve its eschatological goals. In direct defiance of this, the pursuit of convivencia asserts that Jews, Christians, and Muslims can—and must—live together in equity. Sizer's interfaith work is built on the conviction that if peace and justice can be achieved in the deeply fractured landscape of Palestine, it can be achieved anywhere. By working alongside Jewish anti-Zionist organizations, Muslim human rights groups, and Palestinian Christian theologians, Sizer attempts to model the very coexistence he preaches. He argues that this cross-faith solidarity is the most potent antidote to

the religious extremism that fuels the conflict, demonstrating that the shared values of human dignity and justice can successfully bridge deep theological divides.

11.2. Dismantling Colonial Narratives Across Faith Traditions

To achieve convivencia, Sizer asserts that all faith traditions must actively identify and dismantle the colonial narratives that have hijacked their theologies. Sizer frequently highlights how European colonial powers have historically used religion as a moral cover to legitimize conquest, exploitation, and ethnic cleansing. By framing territorial expansion and military dominance as holy duties or divine mandates, religious language is weaponized to sanitize human rights abuses. Sizer's interfaith dialogue focuses intensely on exposing this dynamic.

Stephen Sizer

Within his own tradition, this means relentlessly confronting the synthesis of Christian nationalism and dispensationalism, which he views as the ultimate expression of Western religious colonialism. However, Sizer also engages with Jewish and Islamic dialogue partners to challenge the ways in which ethno-nationalism and religious extremism have distorted their respective traditions. He argues that whenever a faith tradition fuses its spiritual identity with state power, military violence, and territorial exclusivity, it betrays its core ethical mandates. Sizer's interfaith methodology involves a mutual, critical reflection where leaders from different traditions collaborate to strip away the colonial theology of empire. The goal is to return to the prophetic roots of the Abrahamic faiths, which consistently demand justice for the poor, protection for the vulnerable, and equity for the stranger.

11.3. Practical Steps Toward Justice and Reconciliation

Sizer's vision for peacemaking is deeply pragmatic; he insists that reconciliation cannot occur in a vacuum of justice. Through the Peacemaker Trust, he advocates for concrete, practical steps to alleviate the suffering of marginalized communities and hold oppressive structures accountable. He frequently quotes the biblical mandate that true peace (shalom) is never merely the absence of conflict, but the presence of justice. Therefore, Sizer completely rejects the superficial forms of interfaith dialogue that focus only on shared theological abstractions while ignoring the brutal realities of military occupation, apartheid, and systemic inequality.

In Sizer's framework, practical peacemaking requires taking a definitive stand on human rights. This involves advocating for the enforcement of international humanitarian law, supporting non-violent direct action against illegal home demolitions, and endorsing movements that seek to dismantle apartheid structures. Furthermore, Sizer insists that true reconciliation requires the West to repent of its historical complicity in the conflict, specifically its uncritical military and financial support for oppressive regimes. For the global Church, Sizer's practical steps involve shifting financial resources away from Christian Zionist organizations that fund illegal

settlements and redirecting them toward indigenous Palestinian institutions, hospitals, and educational centers. Ultimately, Sizer's interfaith and peacemaking efforts are grounded in the belief that justice is the prerequisite for peace. By standing in absolute solidarity with the oppressed, defending their human rights, and building multi-faith coalitions dedicated to equality, Sizer attempts to operationalize the radical, boundary-breaking love that sits at the very heart of the Christian gospel.

12. Conclusion: The Legacy and Necessity of Sizer's Critique

To fully comprehend the scope of Stephen Sizer's life and work is to recognize the immense and often agonizing cost of prophetic dissent within modern evangelicalism. Through decades of rigorous academic research, pastoral ministry, and international advocacy, Sizer has systematically dismantled the historical and theological foundations of Christian Zionism. By tracing the movement to the sectarian anxieties of nineteenth-century Britain and the deeply flawed hermeneutics of Cyrus Scofield, Sizer successfully unmasks dispensationalism not as historic Christian orthodoxy, but as a dangerous theological innovation. However, his work extends far beyond an academic exercise in church history. Sizer confronts the terrifying reality that this eschatological system has been weaponized by the West to provide divine justification for the dispossession, marginalization, and eradication of the Palestinian people, including the indigenous Christian Church of the Holy Land. In steelmanning Sizer's critique, one must conclude that his project is not a peripheral political debate, but a fundamental battle for the ethical and theological soul of the global Church.

12.1. The Enduring Threat of Apocalyptic Theology

Sizer's analysis issues a dire warning about the enduring threat of apocalyptic theology when it is married to state power. As long as millions of Western Christians believe that a militarized, expanding ethno-state in the Middle East is the prerequisite for the return of Jesus Christ, the region will remain a theater of perpetual, divinely sanctioned warfare. Sizer demonstrates that Christian Zionism operates with a fatalistic eschatology that fundamentally rejects diplomacy, actively lobbies against peace initiatives, and celebrates regional instability as the birth pangs of the Messiah. This theology replaces the cross with the sword and transforms the Prince of Peace into a patron of empire.

The necessity of Sizer's critique lies in his unyielding exposure of this moral bankruptcy. He forces the evangelical church to look at the human cost of its prophetic timelines, arguing that a theology requiring the perpetual subjugation of millions of Arab people to fulfill its endgame is fundamentally antithetical to the gospel. By demanding that the Church decouple its hope from the machinery of military conquest, Sizer provides the necessary theological antidote to an

ideology that continues to destabilize the Middle East and corrupt the moral witness of Western Christianity.

12.2. Reclaiming a Biblical Vision of Justice

Beyond mere deconstruction, the legacy of Stephen Sizer is deeply rooted in his effort to reclaim a robust, biblical vision of justice. For Sizer, the answer to the heresy of Christian Zionism is not a retreat into spiritualized apathy, but a bold return to the historic, covenantal theology of the Reformation and the early Church. He advocates for a hermeneutic that reads the entire Bible through a radically Christocentric lens, recognizing that Jesus Christ alone is the true Temple, the true Israel, and the ultimate fulfillment of all divine promises. In this framework, the geographical borders of the Old Covenant are shattered, and the gospel is universally applied to all nations without ethnic preference or hierarchy.

This theological reclamation demands an ethical transformation. Sizer's work champions a Christianity that stands in absolute solidarity with the oppressed, prioritizing the human rights of the Palestinian people over the geopolitical ambitions of the Israeli state. By amplifying the voices of the Palestinian Christian community and endorsing practical frameworks for justice, such as the Kairos Document and the pursuit of interfaith coexistence, Sizer operationalizes the Sermon on the Mount. His legacy challenges the global Church to abandon its fascination with empty tombs and apocalyptic timelines, and instead commit itself to the arduous, costly work of defending the living stones of the Church and pursuing equality for all people created in the image of God.

12.3. Sizer's Place in Modern Theological Discourse

Sizer occupies a highly contested and polarized space in modern theological discourse. He has been subjected to severe institutional censure, relentless media campaigns, and ecclesiastical discipline, largely driven by the intense lobbying power of the very movement he critiques. Yet, when stripped of the political vitriol and evaluated on the merits of his historical and biblical scholarship, Sizer emerges as a vital, prophetic voice of the twenty-first century. He stands as one of the few evangelical theologians willing to risk his career, reputation, and livelihood to expose the systemic injustices perpetuated in the name of his own faith tradition.

Ultimately, Sizer's legacy will not be defined by the ecclesiastical tribunals that sought to silence him, but by the enduring accuracy of his theological critique. As the human toll of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict continues to mount, and as the destructive consequences of Christian nationalism become increasingly undeniable, the necessity of Sizer's scholarship becomes ever more apparent. He has provided the global Church with the intellectual architecture, the historical evidence, and the moral vocabulary required to reject the false gospel of Christian

Zionism. In doing so, Stephen Sizer ensures that a faithful, just, and universally reconciling witness to Jesus Christ remains possible in a deeply fractured world.

13. References

Ateek, N., Duaybis, C., & Tobin, M. (Eds.). (2005). Challenging Christian Zionism: Theology, Politics and the Israel-Palestine Conflict. Melisende.

Ateek, N., & Prior, M. (Eds.). (1999). Holy Land Hollow Jubilee: God, Justice and the Palestinians. Melisende.

Kairos Palestine. (2009). A Moment of Truth: A Word of Faith, Hope, and Love from the Heart of Palestinian Suffering. Kairos Palestine Document.

Macpherson, D. (Ed.). (2000). A Third Millennium Guide to Pilgrimage to the Holy Land. Melisende.

Prior, M. (Ed.). (2000). They Came and They Saw: Western Christian Experiences of the Holy Land. Melisende.

Prior, M. (Ed.). (2004). Speaking the Truth about Zionism and Israel. Melisende.

Sizer, S. (1998). Panorama of the Holy Land. (Co-authored with Jon Arnold).

Sizer, S. (2000). The Land of Promise: Biblical, Theological, and Contemporary Perspectives. In P. Johnson & P. Walker (Eds.). Inter-Varsity Press.

Sizer, S. (2001). Toronto in Perspective: Papers on the New Charismatic Wave of the Mid 1990s. In D. Hilborn (Ed.). Paternoster Press.

Sizer, S. (2004). Christian Zionism: Road-Map to Armageddon? Inter-Varsity Press.

Sizer, S. (2004). Heaven on Earth: The Temple in Biblical Theology. In D. Alexander & S. Gathercole (Eds.). Paternoster Press.

Sizer, S. (2004). In the Footsteps of Jesus and the Apostles.

Sizer, S. (2007). Zion's Christian Soldiers?: The Bible, Israel and the Church. Inter-Varsity Press.

Sizer, S. (2021). Cyrus Ingerson Scofield: Charlatan and Heretic. Stephen Sizer Personal Archive. https://stephensizer.com/2021/06/cyrus-ingerson-scofield-charlatan-and-heretic/

Sizer, S. (2022). 7 Biblical Answers: Israel and the Church. Stephen Sizer Personal Archive. https://stephensizer.com/category/scofield/

Sizer, S. (2026). Easter Sunday: Christ is Risen! (John 20). Stephen Sizer Personal Archive. https://stephensizer.com/

Sizer, S. (2026). The Deceptive Power of Dispensationalism and Christian Nationalism. Stephen Sizer Personal Archive. https://stephensizer.com/2026/04/the-deceptive-power-of-dispensationalism-and-christian-nationalism/

Sizer, S. (2026). Three Faiths. One Stage. Countless Insights. Stephen Sizer Personal Archive. https://stephensizer.com/

YouTube. (2018). Stephen Sizer discussing Christian Zionism. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ou8wPdd7YbQ

The author has published over 5,500 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.